Public Document Pack



Cambridge City Council

HOUSING SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

To: Scrutiny Committee Members: Todd-Jones (Chair), Baigent (Vice-Chair),

Avery, Ashton, Bird, Holland, Moore and Sarris

Alternates: Councillors Cantrill, Gawthrope and Gillespie

Executive Councillors: Best (Leaseholder Representative), Harris (Tenant

Representative), Marais (Tenant Representative), Sweeney (Tenant Representative), Minns (Tenant Representative) and Oriokot (Tenant

Representative)

Despatched: Tuesday, 5 January 2016

Date: Wednesday, 13 January 2016

Time: 5.30 pm

Venue: Committee Room 1 & 2, The Guildhall, Market Square, Cambridge,

CB2 3QJ

Contact: Toni Birkin Direct Dial: 01223 457013

Supplement

6 Budget Proposals 2015/16 to 2019/20 (Pages 5 - 14)

Information for the Public

Location

The meeting is in the Guildhall on the Market Square (CB2 3QJ).

Between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. the building is accessible via Peas Hill, Guildhall Street and the Market Square entrances.

After 5 p.m. access is via the Peas Hill entrance.

All the meeting rooms (Committee Room 1, Committee 2 and the Council Chamber) are on the first floor, and are accessible via lifts or stairs.

Public Participation

Some meetings may have parts that will be closed to the public, but the reasons for excluding the press and public will be given.

Most meetings have an opportunity for members of the public to ask questions or make statements.

To ask a question or make a statement please notify the Committee Manager (details listed on the front of the agenda) prior to the deadline.

- For questions and/or statements regarding items on the published agenda, the deadline is the start of the meeting.
- For questions and/or statements regarding items NOT on the published agenda, the deadline is 10 a.m. the day before the meeting.

Speaking on Planning or Licensing Applications is subject to other rules. Guidance for speaking on these issues can be obtained from Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

Further information about speaking at a City Council meeting can be found at;

https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/speaking-atcommittee-meetings

Cambridge City Council would value your assistance in improving the public speaking process of committee meetings. If you have any feedback please contact Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

Filming, recording and photography

The Council is committed to being open and transparent in the way it conducts its decision making. The public may record (e.g. film, audio, tweet, blog) meetings which are open to the public.

Anyone who does not want to be recorded should let the Chair of the meeting know. Those recording meetings are strongly urged to respect the wish of any member of the public not to be recorded.

Fire Alarm

In the event of the fire alarm sounding please follow the instructions of Cambridge City Council staff.

Facilities for disabled people

Facilities for Level access to the Guildhall is via Peas Hill.

A loop system is available in Committee Room 1, Committee Room 2 and the Council Chamber.

Accessible toilets are available on the ground and first floor.

Meeting papers are available in large print and other formats on request prior to the meeting.

For further assistance please contact Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

Queries o reports

on If you have a question or query regarding a committee report please contact the officer listed at the end of relevant report or Democratic Services on 01223 457013 or democratic.services@cambridge.gov.uk.

General Information

Information regarding committees, councilors and the democratic process is available at

http://democracy.cambridge.gov.uk/

Mod.Gov App

You can get committee agenda and reports for your tablet by using the mod.gov app

Agenda Item 6



Cambridge City Council

Item

To: Executive Councillor for Housing: Councillor Kevin Price

Report by: Liz Bisset, Director of Customer & Community Services

Relevant scrutiny

committee:

Housing Scrutiny Committee 13/1/2016

Wards affected: All Wards

Housing Scrutiny Committee – Housing General Fund Portfolio Revenue and Capital Budget Proposals for 2015/16 to 2019/20

Key Decision

1. Executive summary

Revenue and Capital Budgets

1.1 The following report details the budget proposals relating to this portfolio that are included in the Budget Setting Report (BSR) 2016/17 which will be considered at the following meetings:

| Date | Committee | Comments |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| 18 January 2016 | Strategy & Resources | Consider proposals / recommendations from all Scrutiny Committees in relation to |
| | | their portfolios |
| 21 January 2016 | The Executive | Budget amendment may be presented |
| 8 February | Strategy & | Consider any further amendments including |
| 2016 | Resources | opposition proposals |
| 25 February | Council | Approves General Fund Budget and sets |
| 2016 | | Council Tax |

1.2 The report includes a recommendation concerning the review of charges for this portfolio.

2. Recommendations

The Executive Councillor is recommended to:

Review of Charges:

a) Approve the proposed charges for this portfolio for 2016/17 as detailed in Appendix A to this report.

Revenue:

b) Consider the revenue budget proposals as shown in Appendix B.

Capital:

There are no capital proposals for this portfolio.

3. Background

- 3.1 At its meeting on 22 October 2015, Council gave initial consideration to the budget prospects for the General Fund for 2016/17 and future years in the Mid-year Financial Review (MFR) 2015.
- 3.2 The overall BSR to Strategy & Resources Scrutiny Committee on 18 January 2016 will include a review of all the factors relating to the overall financial strategy that were included in the MFR.
- 3.3 The report to The Executive on 21 January 2016 may include details of the Government's Final Settlement for 2016/17. The announcement is likely to be made shortly after the conclusion of the consultation period, which ends on 15 January 2016.
- 3.4 Further work may be required on detailed budgets. So delegation to the Head of Finance will be sought from Council for authority to finalise changes relating for example to the reallocation of departmental administration, support service and central costs, in accordance with the CIPFA Service Reporting Code of Practice for Local Authorities (SeRCOP).

Budget 2016/17 - Overall Revenue Budget Position

3.5 The budget proposals for this portfolio, as summarised in table 1, will be considered by The Executive at its meeting on 21 January 2016.

Table 1: Overall Revenue Proposals (see Appendix B)

| Savings and Bids | 2016/17 Budget £ | 2017/18 Forecast £ |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Savings: | | |
| Increased Income | - | - |
| Savings | (57,300) | (57,300) |
| Total | (57,300) | (57,300) |
| Bids: Unavoidable Revenue Pressures | - | - |
| Reduced Income | 25 000 | - 25 000 |
| Bids | 35,900 | 35,900 |
| Total | 35,900 | 35,900 |
| Net (savings)/bids | (21,400) | (21,400) |

| External Bids | - | - |
|---------------|---|---|
|---------------|---|---|

Capital

- 3.6 The review of the capital plan and capital approval processes, first proposed in the MFR 2014, is now complete. The review addressed a number of concerns, as listed below:
 - Capacity to deliver projects to time, cost and quality;
 - Dependency on revenue funding; and
 - Inclusion of items, such as unallocated funds, projects at an early stage of development, and items more properly treated as small enhancements or maintenance spend.
- 3.7 Phase 1 sought to remove projects from the plan that were not fully specified and/or not deliverable. Phase 2 proposed and implemented new processes and procedures, including a Capital Programme Board to review and approve the planning and deliverability of schemes prior to funding approval. The operation of the board and the new processes will be kept under review to ensure effectiveness.
- 3.8 All capital proposals in this BSR have been put through the new processes. Additionally, new documentation was required for schemes already on the plan but not yet started, to ensure that they are planned and deliverable. Where satisfactory documentation has not been received, it is proposed that funding is

withdrawn and the schemes are moved to the Projects Under Development (PUD) list.

Table 2: Overall Capital Proposals for this portfolio (not applicable)

| | 2016/17 £ | 2017/18 £ | 2018/19 £ | 2019/20 £ | 2020/21 £ |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Capital Bids | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Net Capital Bids | - | - | - | - | - |

Public Consultation

- 3.9 The Council has carried out a budget consultation exercise annually since 2002. Last year, the council used a tool called "YouChoose", in which residents were asked to say how they would increase or decrease the budget across a range of council services, to meet the council's savings targets and set a balanced budget. In the YouChoose exercise, residents identified a number of services where they thought the biggest savings could be made. These included planning services, managing parks and public spaces, waste collection and recycling, running community centres, street cleaning, and enforcing environmental standards.
- 3.10 This year, the council asked a group of residents and businesses to take part in workshops to look in more detail at where savings could be made from the services picked out last year. BMG Research, an independent research agency, was commissioned to conduct deliberative workshops to explore their views. Three workshops involving a representative sample of residents and local businesses were run in August and early September 2015. Participants were asked to:
 - Indicate how they perceived the Council and the services it provides;
 - Give their initial views on a long-list of services (23) that make-up the five key service areas;
 - Talk about their experiences of using the services (23);
 - Discuss how they valued the services, and whether there were opportunities for doing things in a different way;
 - Vote on the importance of services where ideas for savings (8) had been put forward;
 - Give their initial views on the savings ideas;
 - Consider the ideas for savings in a bit more depth.
- 3.11 The full report, published on the Council's website, sets out the key findings from the research. Section 3 of the BSR highlights the views of participants in the workshops, outlining similarities or differences between the views of resident and business representatives.

4. Implications

All budget proposals have a number of implications. A decision not to approve a revenue bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the service or scheme in question and could have financial, staffing, equality and poverty, environmental,

procurement, consultation and communication and/or community safety implications. A decision not to approve a capital or external bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the developments desired in the service areas.

(a) Financial Implications

Financial implications of budget proposals are summarised in the BSR 2016/17.

(b) Staffing Implications

See text above.

(c) Equality and Poverty Implications

A consolidated Equality Impact Assessment for the Council's BSR will be submitted to The Executive at its meeting on 21 January 2016. Individual Equality Impact Assessments have been conducted to support this, and will be available on the Council's website.

(d) Environmental Implications

Where relevant, officers have considered the environmental impact of budget proposals which are annotated as follows:

- +H / +M / +L: to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low positive impact;
- Nil: to indicate that the proposal has no climate change impact;
- -H / -M / -L: to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low negative impact.

(e) **Procurement Implications**

Any procurement implications will be outlined in the BSR 2016/17.

(f) Consultation and Communication Implications

As outlined in 3 above, budget proposals are based on the requirements of statutory and discretionary service provision. Public consultations are undertaken throughout the year and can be seen at:

https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/budget-consultation

(g) Community Safety Implications

Any community safety implications will be outlined in the BSR 2016/17.

5. Background papers

These background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

- Budget Setting Report 2016/17
- Mid-year Financial Review 2015
- Individual Equality Impact Assessments

Report Page No: 5

6. Appendices

The following items, where applicable, are included for discussion:

| Appendix | Proposal Type | Included |
|-----------------|---|----------|
| Α | Review of Charges | ✓ |
| В | Revenue Budget Proposals for this portfolio | ✓ |

7. Inspection of papers

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:

Authors' Names:

Authors' Phone Numbers:

Authors' Emails:

Julia Hovells, Karen Whyatt
01954 713071, 01223 458145

julia.hovells@cambridge.gov.uk / karen.whyatt@cambridge.gov.uk

O:\accounts\Committee Reports & Papers\Housing Scrutiny Committee\2016 January\Final\HGF\2016-17 Budget Report - GFH - Final Ver 2.doc

Appendix A

Review of Charges

| Charge Type and Description | Charges 2015/16 | Charges 2016/17 | % Increase |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| | | | |
| Licences: | | | |
| HMO's with up to and including 9 Rooms HMO Licences - New Applications HMO Licence - Renewals HMO Licences - New Applications for members of the Landlord Accreditation Scheme HMO Licence - Renewals for members of the Landlord | £535 £430 £435 £330 | £548 £441 £446 £338 | 2.4% 2.6% 2.5% 2.4% |
| Accreditation Scheme. HMO's with 10 or more rooms HMO Licences - New Applications | £595 | £610 | 2.4% |
| HMO Licences - New Applications HMO Licence Renewals HMO Licences - New Applications for members of the Landlord | £490 £495 | £502 £507 | 2.4% |
| Accreditation Scheme HMO Licence - Renewals for members of the Landlord Accreditation Scheme. | £390 | £400 | 2.6% |
| Assisted application | N/A | Cost | N/A |
| Enforcement Activity Penalty for non compliance (not belonging to one of the approved Property Redress schemes) £5,000 maximum charge | Monthly Local Housing Allowance Rate for four bed self contained | 945 | N/A |
| Penalty for non compliance with the Smoke and Carbon Monoxide Regulations | N/A | Local Housing Allowance Rate for the property | N/A |
| Charge for the service of an Improvement Notice under the Housing Act 2004 | £291 | £306 | 5.2% |
| Charge for the service of a Prohibition Order under the Housing Act 2004 | £271 | £285 | 5.2% |
| Charge for the service of an Emergency Remedial Action Notice under the Housing Act 2004 Charge for the review of Suspended notices or orders served | £251 | £264 | 5.2% |
| under the Housing Act 2004 | £91 | £96 | 5.5% |
| Training and other services Delivering training and other discretioanry services for landlords and agents | N/A | Cost (including development) | N/A |

Appendix [B]

| 2016/17 Budget - Revenue proposals | | | | | | Page 1 of 2 | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Reference | Item Description | 2015/16 Budget £ | 2016/17 Budget £ | 2017/18 Budget £ | 2018/19 Budget £ | 2019/20 Budget £ | Contact | Climate Effect & Poverty Ratings |
| Bids | | | | | | | | |
| Housing - C | GF | | | | | | | |
| B3769 | Bid for an additional Housing Advisor to reduce homelessness decision times. | 0 | 35,900 | 35,900 | 35,900 | 35,900 | David Greening | Nil |
| times and of 2008 to 2014 an additionc emergency temporary a | employ an additional FTE hofset increases in homeless ap inclusive to 262 in 2014-15 and FTE would help drive home accommodation and bed ccommodation more quickly ncy accommodation. In the | plications, w d 101 in the f elessness dec and break 1. In 2014-15 | hich have irst quarter cision times fast costs the Counc | gone from of 2015-16. down. This down as il spent £55 | an averag In particus in turn w customers 5,150 (net) | ge of 164 lar it is an ill contrib s are mo on bed o | per annun ticipated ti ute to driv oved throu and breakt | n in hat ing igh ast |
| Total Bids in H | Housing - GF | 0 | 35,900 | 35,900 | 35,900 | 35,900 | _ | |

0

35,900

35,900

35,900

35,900

Total Bids

| 2016/1 | 7 Budget - Reve | nue pr | oposa | ls | | F | age 2 of 2 | |
|-----------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Reference | Item Description | 2015/16 Budget £ | 2016/17 Budget £ | 2017/18 Budget £ | 2018/19 Budget £ | Budget | Contact & | Climate Effect & Poverty Ratings |
| Savings | | | | | | | | |
| Housing - G | F . | | | | | | | |
| \$3767 | Deletion of one FTE Assessment & Support Officer | 0 | (6,200) | (6,200) | (6,200) | (6,200) | David Greening | Nil |
| and Support (| HRA Mid-Year Financial Rev Officer in the Housing Advice ucing cost to the General Fun | Service. 75% | pproved to s of this sav | o delete on ing is delive | e full time ered to the | equivaler HRA, with | nt Assessmer of the balance | nt 3.6 e |
| \$3768 | Deletion of one Housing Head of Service and transfer to the Housing Development Agency | 0 | (43,000) | (43,000) | (43,000) | (43,000) | Liz Bisset | Nil |
| to transfer the | HRA Mid-Year Financial Revi e post holder to work for the th the HRA and the General F | new Housir | ng Develop | ment Age | ncy. This is | anticipat | ed to delive | |
| \$3770 | Savings in salaries due to recruitment to vacancies at lower points on the pay scale | 0 | (8,100) | (8,100) | (8,100) | (8,100) | Alan Carter | Nil |
| | aries for existing posts, due to nan exiting employees. | recruitment | to vacano | ies at Iowe | er points or | the pay | scale for nev | w n/a |
| Total Savings | in Housing - GF | 0 | (57,300) | (57,300) | (57,300) | (57,300) | _ | |
| Total Savings | | 0 | (57,300) | (57,300) | (57,300) | (57,300) | - - | |
| Report Total | | 0 | (21,400) | (21,400) | (21,400) | (21,400) | _ | |

